



Nafasat Karim

Effect of home environment on child's mental development

Research Scholar, Magadh University, Bodhgaya (Bihar), India

Received-22.10.2023,

Revised-28.10.2023,

Accepted-02.11.2023

E-mail: aaryvart2013@gmail.com

Abstract: Brain imaging research suggests that growing up in a disadvantaged environment causes the brain to develop differently. 7 For example, living in an environment affected by chaos and poverty can lead to changes in the brain's stress system that increase a child's vulnerability to chronic diseases later in life. 8

Studies of very young children have identified distinctive patterns of brain activity associated with family income and socioeconomic status, especially in brain areas related to social and emotional development, language ability, and learning and memory. 9-11

Key Words: environment, vulnerability, chronic diseases, distinctive patterns, brain activity, socioeconomic status.

What is a risk factor ?- A risk factor is a condition that is statistically associated with a given outcome. For example, children who grow up poor are more likely than other children to drop out of high school. Poverty, then, is a risk factor for high school dropout. Not all poor children will drop out of high school, of course. They are said to be at risk because as a group they have a higher incidence of dropout.

Research has identified specific aspects of a child's environment that are associated with later outcomes. Commonly studied risk factors include poverty/income, maternal depression, and low maternal education. They are strong predictors of later outcomes including academic performance, cognitive development, and social and emotional well-being. 12-14

Risk factors like these can affect children even in the first years of life. Early risk is associated with later behavioral and academic outcomes. For example, risk exposure during infancy appears to be more detrimental for children's school readiness than later exposure. 13, 14

Family income and economic well-being are important predictors of children's well-being. Family income and economic circumstances have a powerful effect on children's development. Like other risk factors, low family income affects children mainly by affecting their home environments and the parenting they receive in ways that hinder optimal development. 3, 16

Income-related differences in parenting appear early. For instance, lower-income mothers are, on average, less affectionate, less responsive to their infants' distress signals, and more likely to have harsh parenting styles. 17, 18

In poor and low-income families, the home environment is more likely to be chaotic, and parents are more likely to be stressed and unresponsive. They show less sensitivity and provide less cognitive stimulation. 2, 13, 19 Research shows that lower-income mothers talk less and spend less time in shared activities with their children than do middle-income mothers, and are less engaged when their children talk to them. 20

Poor children have fewer stimulating experiences and learning materials than higher-income children. 14, 21 The effects are apparent in the first years and often last into adulthood. Low-income children, even in the first three years of life, are more likely to have lower cognitive scores and increased behavioral problems. 19

Better-educated parents tend provide more positive home environments. Like family income, parental education is a strong influence on children's home environments. In some research on child outcomes, maternal education is a better predictor than family income. 23

In a brain imaging study of young children, there were measurable effects of maternal education on brain regions involved in attention skills. 23 In another study, parental educational level was related to children's educational and occupational success at age 48. 25

Among mothers of infants and toddlers, increases in education have been shown to promote improvements in young children's home environments and language development. Parents' education appears to be especially beneficial for children of poor, young, or single-mothers. 15, 26

Maternal depression is a grave threat to children's healthy development. Maternal postpartum depression is the most common medical complication of childbearing. Although most women experience some brief depressionlike symptoms in the first week or two after giving birth, national research shows that 10 to 15 percent of new mothers are afflicted by major depression—often lasting six months or longer. 27-29



Common symptoms of postnatal depression include sleep disturbances, feelings of guilt, and loss of interest in daily activities. Not surprisingly, then, new mothers who suffer from untreated depression are unlikely to be able to provide the positive experiences their infants need.

On average, depressed mothers spend less time touching and talking to their babies, and their interactions tend to be more negative. Studies repeatedly show that depression is associated with parenting styles that are either understimulating or overstimulating.^{27,28}

If left untreated, maternal depression in a child's first years can have negative effects on cognitive development, behavior, and school readiness. There appear to be biological effects as well: recent research has discovered distinct patterns of brain activity and stress hormone levels in children of depressed mothers.²⁷

After reviewing a quarter century of research, scholars convened by the National Research Council concluded that profound social and economic transformations are posing serious challenges to the efforts of parents and others to ensure proper early childhood development.

These changing conditions include- The remarkable growth in the number of working mothers with young children. In 2004, 66 percent (approximately 267,000) of all children under six in Wisconsin had all parents in the labor force, one of the highest percentages in the nation.

The rise in divorce and in poor single-parent families. Wisconsin's divorce rate has doubled over the last 30 years, and nearly one quarter of children live in single-parent families.

It appears that many of Wisconsin's parents are struggling in their efforts to assure that their children are safe, healthy, and prepared for school. Most developed countries far surpass the United States in their early care and education services.

REFERENCES

1. Blair C, Granger DA, Willoughby M, et al. Salivary cortisol mediates effects of poverty and parenting on executive functions in early childhood. *Child Development*. 2011; 82(6):1970-8.
2. Evans GW, Ricciuti HN, Hope S, et al. Crowding and cognitive development. The mediating role of maternal responsiveness among 36-month-old children. *Environment and Behavior*. 2010; 42(1): 135-148.
3. Trentacosta CJ, Hyde, LW, Shaw DS, et al. The relations among cumulative risk, parenting, and behavior problems during early childhood. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2008; 49: 1211-1219.
4. Vernon-Feagans L, Garrett-Peters P, Willoughby M, et al. Chaos, poverty, and parenting: Predictors of early language development. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*. 2011 (in press).
5. Duncan GJ, Ziol-Guest KM, Kalil A. Early childhood poverty and adult attainment, behavior, and health. *Child Development*. 2010; 81: 306-325.
6. Pungello EP, Kainz K, Burchinal M, et al. Early educational intervention, early cumulative risk, and the early home environment as predictors of young adult outcomes within a high-risk sample. *Child Development*. 2010; 81: 410-426.
7. Gianaros PJ. Socioeconomic health disparities: A health neuroscience and lifecourse perspective. *Psychological Science Agenda*. 2011; 25(1).
8. Miller GE, Chen E, Fok AK, et al. Low early-life social class leaves a biological residue manifested by decreased glucocorticoid and increased proinflammatory signaling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*. 2009; 106: 14716-14721.
9. Hanson JL, Chandra A, Wolfe BL, et al. Association between income and the hippocampus. *PLoS ONE*. 2011; 6(5): e18712.
10. Noble KG, Houston SM, Kan E, et al. Neural correlates of socioeconomic status in the developing human brain. *Developmental Science*. 2012; in press, 1-12.
11. Raizada RD, Richards TL, Meltzoff A, et al. Socioeconomic status predicts hemispheric specialisation of the left inferior frontal gyrus in young children. *NeuroImage*. 2008; 40(3): 1392-1401.
12. Burchinal M, Roberts JE, Zeisel SA, et al. Social risk and protective child, parenting, and child care factors in early elementary school years. *Parenting: Science and Practice*. 2006; 6(1): 79-113.
13. Mistry RS, et al. Family and social risk, and parental investments during the early childhood years as predictors of low-income children's school readiness outcomes. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*. 2010; 25: 432-449.



14. Sektan M, McClelland MM, Acock A, et al. Relations between early family risk, children's behavioural regulation, and academic achievement. *Early Child Research Quarterly*. 2010; 25: 464-479.
15. Shih R, Chandra A, Griffin BA, et al. Birth outcomes in the Conditions Affecting Neurocognitive Development and Learning in Early Childhood (CANDLE) Study. 2012 (Pending publication).
16. Lanza ST, et al. Modeling multiple risks during infancy to predict quality of the caregiving environment: contributions of a personcentered approach. *Infant Behavior & Development*. 2011; 34: 390-406.
17. Fouts HN, Roopnarine JL, Lamb ME. Social experiences and daily routines of African American infants in different socioeconomic contexts. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 2007; 21: 655-664.
18. Kim HK, Pears KC, Fisher PA, et al. Trajectories of maternal harsh parenting in the first 3 years of life. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 2010; 34(12): 897-906.
19. Berger LM, Paxson C, Waldfogel J. Income and child development. *Children and Youth Services Review*. 2009; 31: 978-989.
20. Huttenlocher J, Vasilyeva M, Waterfall HR, et al. The varieties of speech to young children. *Developmental Psychology*. 2007; 43(5): 1062- 1083.
21. Yeung WJ, Pfeiffer KM. The black-white test score gap and early home environment. *Social Science Research*. 2009; 38: 412-437.
22. Tylavsky F, Atkins JK, Atkins R, Bush A, et al. Conditions Affecting Neurocognitive Development and Learning in Early Childhood. 2012. Unpublished raw data.
23. Son S, Morrison F. The nature and impact of changes in home learning environment on development of language and academic skills in preschool children. *Developmental Psychology*. 2010; 46(5):1103-1118.
24. Stevens C, Lauinger B, Neville H. Differences in the neural mechanisms of selective attention in children from different socioeconomic backgrounds: An event-related brain potential study. *Developmental Science*. 2009; 12(4): 634-646.D
25. Dubow EF, Boxer P, Huesmann LR. Longterm effects of parents' education on children's educational and occupational success. *Merrill- Palmer Quarterly*. 2009; 55(3): 224-249.
26. Magnuson KA, Sexton HR, Davis-Kean PE, et al. Increases in maternal education and young children's language skills. *Merrill- Palmer Quarterly*. 2009; 55 (3): 319-350.
27. Field, T, Hernandez-Reif M, Diego M. Intrusive and withdrawn depressed mothers and their infants. *Developmental Review*. 2006; 26: 15-30.
